Division Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR C.G.

Cr.Rev. 238 /2005.

Revisioner. Complainant. : PRADEEP KUMAR RAI aged 52 years S/o Ajit Kumar Rai R/o Towwa Guru Nanak Chauk, Vasan Block, Torwa, Bilaspur C.G.

Versus.

Respondent. : 1. State of Chhattisgarh.

Respondent. Accused. : 2. Anita W/o B.M.Bhatta charya aged 52 years, R/o Diprupara, Thana ~~Rarbahara~~ Tarbahar, Bilaspur C.G.

3. Animesh Bhattacharya ~~Aged 70 yrs.~~ aged 30 years S/o B.M.Bhattacharya, R/o Diprapara, P.S.Tarbahar Bilaspur

4. Chandrani Vishwas aged 23 years, W/o Amit Narayan Vishwas R/o Diprapara, Thana Tarbahar, Bilaspur C.G.

1743/05

filed on 10/5/05 by Shri Kishore Raitel Advocate

R to D.R. 11/5/05

Memo of Criminal Revision under section 397/401 of the code of Criminal procedure 1973.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(36)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cri. Rev. No. 238/05 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

D.B. : HON'BLE SHRI FAKHRUDDIN, J. AND
HON'BLE SHRI VIJAY KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA, J.

21-11-2005

Mr. Upendra Bharat, counsel for the applicant/complainant.
Mr. Ashish Shukla, Additional Public Prosecutor and Mr. M.P.S.Bhatia, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1/State.
Mr. Saurabh Jaiswal, counsel for respondents No.2, 3 and 4.

It is noted that, against the judgment dated 4-3-2005, the State has preferred Criminal Appeal No.622/2005, which has been admitted for hearing and listed today.

The accused persons i.e. respondents No.2, 3 and 4 are present.

It is pointed out by learned counsel for the respondents that, the appeal having been preferred, all questions are open. It is pointed out by learned counsel for the parties that, since the appeal has already been preferred, it has been admitted, this revision may be disposed of with liberty to raise these grounds in the criminal appeal and permission be granted to Mr. Upendra Bharat, counsel for the applicant/complainant to assist the prosecution and appear for the complainant in the appeal.

This prayer is not opposed by the State Counsel nor by the accused persons.

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

(37)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cri. Rev. No. 238/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Permission is granted to Mr. Upendra Bharat, learned counsel for the complainant to address in the criminal appeal. Accordingly, this revision stands disposed of. Copy of this order be placed in Criminal Appeal No.622/2005. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge** **Sd//-** **V.K.Shrivastava** **Judge**	